

Red Tape Review Rule Report (Due: September 1, 2025)

Department Name:	Iowa Public Information Board	Date:	April 17, 2025	Total Rule Count:	6
IAC #:	497	Chapter/ SubChapter/ Rule(s):	10	Iowa Code Section Authorizing Rule:	23
Contact Name:	Kimberly Murphy	Email:	Kim.murphy@iowa.gov	Phone:	515-393-7664

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

What is the intended benefit of the rule?

Chapter 10 was promulgated to establish an injunction request procedure. Chapter 10 outlines the full procedure for filing an injunction to enjoin the inspection of a public record.

Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

Yes, the benefit is being achieved. The Iowa Public Information Board successfully operates pursuant to Iowa Code Chapter 23 and processes numerous complaints every year. Should a complainant or government body object to the inspection of a public record, this Chapter will provide an option for relief.

What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

There are no costs incurred by the public to comply with this rule. All services provided by the Iowa Public Information Board are provided to citizens with no charge. The Iowa Public Information Board is designed to be a free and easily accessible way for citizens to raise and resolve complaints against government bodies related to transparency.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

There are no costs to the agency or any other agency to implement or enforce this rule.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

As indicated above, there are no costs associated with this rule.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? YES NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

This Chapter simply allows a process to seek an injunction. There is no less restrictive alternative.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

No.

RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):

No rules were repealed in Chapter 10. This is the only Chapter that remains in place following EO 10 review.

RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

The full Chapter 10 is being re-promulgated with removal of restrictive terms

****For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.***

METRICS

Total number of rules repealed:	0
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	0
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-promulgation	8

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?

N/A